RESEARCH

Open Access



Mosquitoes in the Danube Delta: searching for vectors of filarioid helminths and avian malaria

Angela Monica Ionică¹, Carina Zittra², Victoria Wimmer², Natascha Leitner², Jan Votýpka^{3,6}, David Modrý^{4,5,6}, Andrei Daniel Mihalca¹ and Hans-Peter Fuehrer^{2*}

Abstract

Background: Mosquitoes are arthropods of major importance to animal and human health because they are able to transmit pathogenic agents such as filarioids (Spirurida), vector-borne nematodes, which reside in the tissues of vertebrates. In Europe, recent research has mostly focused on mosquito-borne zoonotic species, while others remain neglected. Mosquitoes are also vectors of avian malaria, which has an almost worldwide distribution, and is caused by several *Plasmodium* species and lineages, the most common being *P. relictum*. The Danube Delta region of Romania is one of the most important stopover sites for migratory birds. The local mosquito fauna is diverse and well represented, while filarial infections are known to be endemic in domestic dogs in this area. The aim of the present study was thus to assess the potential vector capacity for various filarial helminths and avian malaria of mosquitoes trapped in the Danube Delta.

Methods: In July 2015, mosquitoes were collected at seven sites located in and around a rural locality in the Danube Delta region of Romania, using CO₂-baited traps and hand aspirators. Additionally, a trap was placed next to a microfilaremic dog co-infected with *Dirofilaria repens* and *D. immitis*. All randomly trapped mosquitoes were identified to the species level and pooled according to date, sampling site, and taxon. Three hundred individual mosquitoes sampled next to the microfilaremic dog were processed individually and divided into abdomen and thorax/head. Following DNA extraction, all samples were screened for the presence of DNA of filarioid helminths and avian malaria agents by PCR techniques.

Results: All 284 pools (a total of 5855 mosquitoes) were negative for filarioid DNA. One pool of *Culex modestus* mosquitoes was positive for *Plasmodium* sp. lineage Donana03. In the individually extracted mosquitoes, one abdomen of *Aedes vexans* was positive for *D. repens* DNA, one thorax/head of *Ae. vexans* was positive for DNA of *Setaria labiatopapillosa*, and two thorax/head of *Cx. pipiens* f. *pipiens* were positive for *P. relictum* lineage pSGS1.

Conclusion: The present study suggests the vector competence of *Cx. modestus* and *Cx. pipiens* for avian *Plasmodium* including pathogenic species *P. relictum* and *Ae. vexans* for mammalian filarioids. Moreover, it indicates the role of *Cx. pipiens* f. *pipiens* as a potential natural vector of *P. relictum* lineage pSGS1 in nature.

Keywords: Danube Delta, Filarioids, Avian malaria, Mosquito vectors

* Correspondence: Hans-Peter.Fuehrer@vetmeduni.ac.at

²Department of Pathobiology, Institute of Parasitology, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Background

Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) are a diverse group of bloodsucking arthropods comprising 44 genera including approximately 3500 species and subspecies [1]. Overall, they are considered a key threat to animal and human health [2]. The genera *Aedes, Culex* and *Anopheles* show a high diversity of species, including the vectors of pathogens causing infections relevant for public health, such as Rift Valley fever, dengue fever, yellow fever, Zika, chikungunya, malaria and filarioses [3].

The Danube Delta is situated in eastern Romania and comprises thirty types of ecosystems [4]. This area is also characterized by a significant biodiversity, comprising over 1800 plant and 3500 animal species [4]. The specific landscape (marshes, lakes and channels), along with the local climatic conditions provide optimal conditions for the development of an abundant and well represented mosquito fauna, comprising 31 species from 10 genera [4].

Filarioids (Spirurida) are parasitic vector-borne nematodes, residing in the tissues of all classes of vertebrates, except fish [5]. Several species which act as agents of human disease have been extensively studied [6], while others are still neglected, due to their minimal clinical importance. Among mosquito-borne filarioids in Europe, increased attention has been given to zoonotic species, parasites of canids, namely Dirofilaria immitis, which causes a severe and life-threatening cardiopulmonary disease of domestic dogs [7], and D. repens, which resides in the subcutaneous tissues, often asymptomatic and sometimes associated with a variety of dermatological conditions [8]. There are several species of mosquitoes, mainly in the genera Aedes, Culex and Anopheles, that allow larval development of both Dirofilaria species and were found to harbour infective larvae, thus having a confirmed vectorial capacity, as reviewed by Simón et al. [7]. Species of the genus Setaria are mosquito-borne filarioids which occur in the abdominal cavity of artiodactyls (particularly Bovidae), hyracoids and equines [5]. Except for S. tundra, the adults are generally non-pathogenic or associated with fibrinous peritonitis, while larvae may migrate erratically and produce neuropathological disorders in unusual hosts [9-11]. In Romania, five species of filarioids parasitizing domestic or wild carnivores have been identified so far: D. immitis, D. repens, Acanthocheilonema reconditum [12, 13], Onchocerca lupi [14] and Cercopithifilaria bainae [15]. Except for O. lupi, all the other species are known to be endemic in the Danube Delta Region [12, 13, 15]. However, with the exception of a few case reports published in the first half of the twentieth Century [16-20], there is no national data regarding the occurrence of other species of filarial parasites (e.g. Setaria spp., Parafilaria spp., Onchocerca spp., etc.) in non-carnivorous mammals.

Avian Plasmodium species are the most prevalent and widespread vertebrate malarial agents, with an almost worldwide distribution [21]. One of the most studied avian malaria parasite is Plasmodium relictum, which is the most prevalent parasite among avian plasmodia, having numerous genetic lineages [22]. Under laboratory conditions, complete sporogony of this parasite was described in Cx. pipiens f. molestus (lineages pGRW4, pSGS1 and pGRW11) and Cx. quinquefasciatus (pSGS1, pGRW4) [22-24]. Members of the Cx. pipiens complex are suggested to be the most important vectors for P. relictum in the field. Moreover, several other avian Plasmodium species and lineages are known to be present in Europe (e.g. [25, 26]). The Danube Delta is one of the most important stopover sites for migratory birds, with an estimated two million individuals belonging to three hundred avian species using the region's ecosystems each year [27]. However, no records of Plasmodium infection in birds have been provided for this region so far.

The aim of the present study was to assess the potential vector capacity of mosquitoes trapped in the Danube Delta, for filarial helminths and avian malaria.

Methods

Study area and sampling

During the EurNegVec Training School "Vector-Borne Diseases and One Health", mosquitoes were collected (between 10 and 14 July 2015) in the Danube Delta region of Romania, at seven locations in and around a rural locality, Chilia Veche (45.421944N, 29.289722E). Mosquitoes were collected with carbon dioxide baited BG Sentinel[®] traps (Biogents AG, Regensburg, Germany), CO_2 -baited CDC traps (model 512 and 1012, John W. Hock Company, Gainesville, FL, USA) and by hand aspirators. Additionally, a carbon dioxide baited BG Sentinel[®] trap (Biogents AG, Germany) was placed next to a microfilaremic dog known to be co-infected with *D. immitis* and *D. repens*. All captured mosquitoes were stored at -20 °C and brought to the Institute of Parasitology of the University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna.

Mosquito identification and processing

All mosquitoes were identified to species level using morphological keys available in the literature [2].

After identification, all randomly trapped mosquitoes (n = 5855) were grouped and pooled according to species, capture date and sampling site. When necessary, some groups were further subdivided, so that each final pool would contain a maximum of 25 individual mosquitoes. To each pool, 2–3 Precellys Ceramic Beads 2.8 mm (Peqlab, Erlangen, Germany) were added before homogenization, using a TissueLyserII (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). DNA was extracted from homogenates,

using the innuPREP DNA Mini Kit (Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

In contrast, 300 mosquitoes from the trap placed next to the microfilaremic dog were processed individually. The abdomen was separated from the thorax/head of each identified mosquito, and both parts were separately homogenized as mentioned above. DNA was extracted using the ZR-Duet[™] DNA/RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research Corp.,Irvine, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The screening for filarioid helminths was performed in both the pools and the individually processed mosquitoes by PCR amplification of a 724 bp fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 gene (flanking the entire barcode region), using the generalistic H14FilaCOIFw/H14FilaCOIRv primer pair, as previously described [28]. The presence of DNA of avian *Plasmodium* and *Haemoproteus* was assessed by means of nested PCR, targeting a 667 bp fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome b gene, according to a previously described protocol [29].

All PCR-positive samples were sequenced using an external service (LGC Genomics GmbH, Germany). The attained sequences were compared to those available in the GenBank database using Basic Local Alignment Tool (BLAST) analysis. Furthermore, avian *Plasmodium* sequences were compared to others available in the MalAvi database [30].

In the case of positivity for pathogens, mosquitoes belonging to the *Cx. pipiens* complex were further analysed molecularly in order to differentiate *Cx. pipiens* from *Cx. torrentium* (*ace-2* gene) and *Cx. pipiens* f. *pipiens* from *Cx. pipiens* f. *molestus* (CQ11 locus), as previously reported [31–33].

Results

Mosquito pools

Overall, 284 pools comprising 5855 mosquitoes belonging to 11 species included in six genera (Table 1) which are known to be present in the Danube Delta region [4, 34], were screened for the presence of DNA of filarioid helminths and avian malaria. All samples were negative for filarioids. One pool of *Cx. modestus* mosquitoes collected on 11th of July (45.413038N, 29.279688E) was positive for *Plasmodium* DNA. Sequence analysis revealed a 100% homology with a *Plasmodium* sp. of the lineage Donana03 (GenBank: JX458328), which has so far not been assigned to a particular morphospecies [25]. The sequence was deposited in the GenBank database under the accession number KX570600.

Individual mosquitoes

From the 300 mosquitoes that were trapped next to the microfilaremic dog (Table 2), one abdomen and one

Table 1 Number and relative abundance of trapped mosquitoes
collected in the Danube Delta, including their division in pools

	, 5 1		
Species	п	%	Pools
Aedes vexans	2774	47.38	116
Coquillettidia richiardii	1725	29.46	74
Culex pipiens (sensu lato)	752	12.84	34
Aedes sp.	253	4.32	13
Ochlerotatus caspius	108	1.84	10
Anopheles sp.	79	1.35	9
Culex martinii	44	0.75	6
Anopheles maculipennis	37	0.63	7
Culex sp.	32	0.55	3
Anopheles plumbeus	29	0.50	4
Culex modestus	14	0.24	3
Anopheles claviger	5	0.09	2
Anopheles hyrcanus	2	0.03	2
Culiseta annulata	1	0.02	1
Total	5855	100	284

thorax/head from two different individuals of *Ae. vexans* were positive for filarial DNA. Sequence analyses of the abdomen sample revealed the presence of *D. repens* (100% similarity to several other European isolates, e.g. KR998257), while the thorax/head sample was positive for *Setaria labiatopapillosa* (99% similarity to AJ544872). Two thorax/head samples from mosquitoes belonging to the *Cx. pipiens* complex were positive for DNA of *P. relictum* pSGS1 (100% similarity to several other isolates, e.g. KU752590). All four sequences were deposited in GenBank (accession numbers KX570597–KX570599 and KX570601).

Both positive specimens belonging to the Cx. *pipiens* complex were molecularly identified as Cx. *pipiens* f. *pipiens*.

Discussion

Mosquito-borne filarioids (e.g. *Dirofilaria* spp., *Setaria* spp.) can be transmitted by several species of mosquitoes,

Table 2 Mosquitoes	collected next to a	a microfilaremic dog
positive for D. repens	and D. immitis	

Species	n	%
Coquillettidia richiardii	139	46.34
Aedes vexans	75	25.00
Culex pipiens (sensu lato)	67	22.33
Ochlerotatus caspius	9	3.00
Anopheles sp.	8	2.67
Anopheles plumbeus	1	0.33
Anopheles hyrcanus	1	0.33
Total	300	100

including the Cx. pipiens complex, Coquillettidia richiardii and Ae. vexans [10, 35, 36], which were trapped and examined in large numbers during the present study. Overall, due to the advantages it presents, xenomonitoring of mosquitoes for filarioid species has been largely used throughout Europe during the past decade [37-44]. However, the attained results may depend on the employed PCR techniques, without necessarily reflecting the actual epidemiological situation [44]. Surprisingly, in the present study, all mosquito pools were negative for filarial DNA, despite the high prevalence of Dirofilaria spp. infection in dogs originating from the same area [12]. In neighboring countries, the overall positivity rate of mosquito pools for various species of filarioids was above 30% [42, 43]. In Hungary, an overall prevalence of filarioid DNA was of 36.8% in the tested mosquito pools, with D. repens DNA having been detected in eight mosquito species, S. tundra DNA in four mosquito species and the DNA of an unidentified filarioid was found in one pool of Cx. pipiens [42]. In the Republic of Moldova, 26.51% of tested pools were positive for D. repens DNA, which was identified in 17 mosquito species, while DNA of D. *immitis* was identified only in four mosquito species, with a prevalence of 8.64%, suggesting a broad spectrum of local potential vector species [43]. However, these studies [42, 43] present the outcome of longitudinal multiannual monitoring, while the present one includes a single sampling event, a case in which negativity may be due to the fact that the mosquitoes were caught within a short time span, that they had never fed previously, or fed on other hosts than dogs.

From the individual samples, one abdomen of *Ae. vexans* was positive for *D. repens* DNA. However, this indicates an infected, but not an infective individual, pointing out the fact that the mosquito had recently fed on a positive dog [45]. Theoretically, this mosquito species could be a competent vector for *D. repens*, but several studies suggested it is not particularly attracted to dogs as hosts, thereby playing a minor role in the epidemiology of this parasite [46–48].

A thorax/head sample of *Ae. vexans* was positive for DNA of *S. labiatopapillosa*. This species of filarioid normally resides in the peritoneal cavity of bovines, with no apparent associated pathology [10], which may account for the general scarcity of available epidemiological data. However, when infecting unusual hosts (e.g. sheep, horses), it may become pathogenic and is associated with lesions in the central nervous system, leading to unspecific neurological conditions [10]. To the best of our knowledge, so far, the only confirmed cases of human infection were recorded in Bucharest (south-eastern Romania), where four patients living in the same area presented with ocular infections [49]. *Aedes vexans*

seems to be one of the most efficient natural vectors for this species of filarioid [10]. The microfilariae develop and become infective in the flight muscles [50, 51]. As the actual localization of the larvae in our sample (thorax-developing or head/proboscis-infective) is unknown, our result solely indicates vector competence, but does not further confirm it. However, considering the zoonotic nature of this parasite, further investigations regarding its occurrence in definitive hosts and potential vectors should be undertaken.

Interestingly, the only parasite identified in the pooled samples was Plasmodium sp. lineage Donana03 in Cx. modestus. This finding confirms a previous study, where the same lineage was documented in the same mosquito species in southern Spain [25]. However, in this case, as the sampling was performed in pools, vector competence is not proven, but suggested. In contrast, P. relictum lineage pSGS1 was identified in the head/thorax, but not in the abdomen, of two Cx. pipiens f. pipiens mosquitoes. The presence of P. relictum lineage pSGS1 DNA has been demonstrated in field-collected Cx. pipiens mosquitoes from various European countries (e.g. [52-54]), but in those studies the form was not identified. As mentioned above, the mammalophilic form Cx. pipiens f. molestus is a proven vector of this lineage under laboratory conditions [24]. However, until now, the ornithophilic form, Cx. pipiens f. pipiens cannot be bred under laboratory conditions, and therefore our results indicate that this form might be an important vector of P. relictum pSGS1 in the field.

Conclusion

The present study suggests vector competence of *Cx. modestus* for avian *Plasmodium* spp., of *Ae. vexans* for mammalian filarioids in the Danube Delta and indicates the role of *Cx. pipiens* f. *pipiens* as potential vector of *P. relictum* lineage pSGS1 in nature.

Acknowledgements

The study was performed under the frame of the COST action TD1303, EurNegVec. We would like to thank Barbora Kalousová and all the participants from the WG1 Training School "Vector-Borne Diseases and One Health".

Funding

The work of AMI was supported by the CNCS-UEFISCDI Grant Agency Romania, grant number TE 299/2015. Parts of this research (HPF, CZ) were funded by the ERA-Net BiodivERsA, with the national funders FWF I-1437, ANR-13-EBID-0007-01 and DFG BiodivERsA KL 2087/6–1 as part of the 2012–13 BiodivERsA call for research proposals. The samples were collected using Training Schools funds provided by COST Action TD1303 - EurNegVec.

Availability of data and materials

The data supporting the conclusions of this article are provided within the article. The sequences are submitted in the GenBank database under accession numbers KX570597–KX570601.

Authors' contributions

AMI and HPF wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. The study was designed and supervised by HPF, JV, DM and ADM. Sample collection was performed by HPF, NL and JV. Mosquito species identification was performed by CZ. Molecular assays and sequence analyses were performed by WW and AMI. JV, DM and ADM critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, 335700 Cluj-Napoca, Romania. ²Department of Pathobiology, Institute of Parasitology, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Vienna, Austria. ³Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic. ⁴Department of Pathology and Parasitology, University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Brno, Czech Republic. ⁵CEITEC-VFU, University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Brno, Czech Republic. ⁶Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre of Czech Academy of Sciences, České Budějovice, Czech Republic.

Received: 7 March 2017 Accepted: 27 June 2017 Published online: 05 July 2017

References

- Wilkerson RC, Linton Y-M, Fonseca DM, Schultz TR, Price DC, Strickman DA. Making mosquito taxonomy useful: a stable classification of tribe Aedini that balances utility with current knowledge of evolutionary relationships. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0133602.
- 2. Becker N, Petric D, Zgomba M, Boase C, Madon M, Dahl C, et al. Mosquitoes and their control. Berlin: Springer; 2010. p. 498.
- Lemine AMM, Lemrabott MAO, Ebou MH, Lekweiry KM, Salem MSOA, Brahim KO, et al. Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in Mauritania: a review of their biodiversity, distribution and medical importance. Parasit Vectors. 2017;10:35.
- Prioteasa FL, Falcuta E. An annotated checklist of the mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) of the Danube Delta biosphere reserve. Eur Mosq Bull. 2010;28:240–5.
- Anderson RC. Nematode parasites of vertebrates, their development and transmission. 2nd ed. Wallingford, Oxon: CABI Publishing; 2000. p. 467–532.
- 6. Bain O, Casiraghi M, Martin C, Uni S. The Nematoda Filarioidea: critical analysis linking molecular and traditional approaches. Parasite. 2008;15:342–8.
- Simón F, Siles-Lucas M, Morchón R, González-Miguel J, Mellado I, Carretón E, et al. Human and animal dirofilariasis: the emergence of a zoonotic mosaic. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2012;25:507–44.
- Tarello W. Clinical aspects of dermatitis associated with *Dirofilaria repens* in pets: a review of 100 canine and 31 feline cases (1990–2010) and a report of a new clinic case imported from Italy to Dubai. J Parasitol Res. 2011;2011:578385.
- Laaksonen S, Kuusela J, Nikander S, Nylund M, Oksanen A. Outbreak of parasitic peritonitis in reindeer in Finland. Vet Rec. 2007;160:835–41.
- 10. Cancrini G, Pietrobelli M, Frangipane Di Regalbono A, Tampieri MP. Mosquitoes as vectors of *Setaria labiatopapillosa*. Int J Parasitol. 1997;27:1061–4.
- 11. Bino Sundar ST, D'Souza PE. Morphological characterization of *Setaria* worms collected from cattle. J Parasit Dis. 2015;39:572–6.
- 12. Ionică AM, Matei IA, Mircean V, Dumitrache MO, D'Amico G, Győrke A, et al. Current surveys on the prevalence and distribution of *Dirofilaria* spp. and *Acanthocheilonema reconditum* infections in dogs in Romania. Parasitol Res. 2015;114:975–82.

- Ionică AM, Matei IA, D'Amico G, Daskalaki AA, Juránková J, Ionescu DT, et al. Role of golden jackals (*Canis aureus*) as natural reservoirs of *Dirofilaria* spp. in Romania. Parasit Vectors. 2016;9:240.
- Tudor P, Turcitu M, Mateescu C, Dantas-Torres F, Tudor N, Bărbuceanu F, et al. Zoonotic ocular onchocercosis caused by *Onchocerca lupi* in dogs in Romania. Parasitol Res. 2016;115:859–62.
- Ionică AM, D'Amico G, Mitková B, Kalmár Z, Annoscia G, Otranto D, et al. First report of *Cercopithifilaria* spp. in dogs from eastern Europe with an overview of their geographic distribution in Europe. Parasitol Res. 2014;113:2761–4.
- Mateescu I. [Spiroptic tumor of the stomach in a horse. Autopsy notes. Arh Vet. 1915;12:351–3.] (In Romanian).
- Iliescu MG. [Multiple cutaneous, subcutaneous and muscular hemorrhages in a horse caused by a massive infection with *Filaria hemorragica*.] Arh Vet. 1923;17:116–9. (In Romanian).
- Pavlosievici. [A case of verminous ophtalmia in a horse. Consecutive cerebral congestion. Surgery. Healing.] Arh Vet. 1924;18:26–8. (In Romanian).
- 19. Vechiu A. [A case of chylothorax in a horse, with the occurence of filariae in the liquid.] Arh Vet. 1926;19:92–4. (In Romanian).
- 20. Oprescu CA. [On *Onchocerca cervicalis* in the horse and its importance in withers and neck disease.] Arh Vet. 1943;35:3–13. (In Romanian).
- Pigeault R, Vézilier J, Cornet S, Zélé F, Nicot A, Perret P, et al. Avian malaria: a new lease of life for an old experimental model to study the evolutionary ecology of *Plasmodium*. Phil Trans R Soc B. 2015;370:20140300.
- Valkiūnas G, Žiegytė R, Palinauskas V, Bernotienė R, Bukauskaitė D, Ilgūnas M, et al. Complete sporogony of *Plasmodium relictum* (lineage pGRW4) in mosquitoes *Culex pipiens pipiens*, with implications on avian malaria epidemiology. Parasitol Res. 2015;114:3075–85.
- Cornet S, Nicot A, Rivero A, Gandon S. Both infected and uninfected mosquitoes are attracted toward malaria infected birds. Malar J. 2013;12:179.
- Žiegytė R, Bernotienė R, Bukauskaitė D, Palinauskas V, lezhova T, Valkiūnas G. Complete sporogony of *Plasmodium relictum* (lineages pSGS1 and pGRW11) in mosquito *Culex pipiens pipiens* form *molestus*, with implications to avian malaria epidemiology. J Parasitol. 2014;100:878–82.
- Ferraguti M, Martínez-de la Puente J, Muñoz J, Roiz D, Ruiz S, Soriguer R, et al. Avian *Plasmodium* in *Culex* and *Ochlerotatus* mosquitoes from southern Spain: effects of season and host-feeding source on parasite dynamics. PLoS One. 2013;8:e66237.
- Zittra C, Kocziha Z, Pinnyei S, Harl J, Kieser K, Laciny A, et al. Screening blood-fed mosquitoes for the diagnosis of filarioid helminths and avian malaria. Parasit Vectors. 2015;8:16.
- 27. Hahn S, Bauer S, Liechti F. The natural link between Europe and Africa 2.1 billion birds on migration. Oikos. 2009;118:624–6.
- Hodžić A, Alić A, Fuehrer HP, Harl J, Wille-Piazzai W, Duscher GG. A molecular survey of vector-borne pathogens in red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) from Bosnia and Herzegovina. Parasit Vectors. 2015;8:88.
- Hellgren O, Waldenström J, Bensch S. A new PCR assay for simultaneous studies of *Leucocytozoon*, *Plasmodium*, and *Haemoproteus* from avian blood. J Parasitol. 2004;90:797–802.
- 30. http://mbio-serv2.mbioekol.lu.se/Malavi/. Accessed 3 June 2017.
- Bahnck CM, Fonseca DM. Rapid assay to identify the two genetic forms of *Culex (Culex) pipiens* L. (Diptera: Culicidae) and hybrid populations. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2006;75:251–5.
- Smith JL, Fonseca DM. Rapid assays for identification of members of the *Culex (Culex) pipiens* complex, their hybrids, and other sibling species (Diptera: Culicidae). Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2004;70:339–45.
- Zittra C, Flechl E, Kothmayer M, Vitecek S, Rossiter H, Zechmeister T, et al. Ecological characterization and molecular differentiation of *Culex pipiens* Complex taxa and *Culex torrentium* in eastern Austria. Parasit Vectors. 2016;9:197.
- 34. Török E, Tomazatos A, Cadar D, Horváth C, Keresztes L, Jansen S, et al. Pilot longitudinal mosquito surveillance study in the Danube Delta biosphere reserve and the first reports of *Anopheles algeriensis* Theobald, 1903 and *Aedes hungaricus* Mihályi, 1955 for Romania. Parasit Vectors. 2016;9:196.
- Cancrini G, Magi M, Gabrielli S, Arispici M, Tolari F, Dell'Omodarme M, et al. Natural vectors of dirofilariasis in rural and urban areas of the Tuscan region, central Italy. J Med Entomol. 2006;43:574–9.
- Capelli G, Frangipane di Regalbono A, Simonato G, Cassini R, Cazzin S, Cancrini G, et al. Risk of canine and human exposure to *Dirofilaria immitis* infected mosquitoes in endemic areas of Italy. Parasit Vectors. 2013;6:60.
- Czajka C, Becker N, Poppert S, Jöst H, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Krüger A. Molecular detection of *Setaria tundra* (Nematoda: Filarioidea) and an unidentified filarial species in mosquitoes in Germany. Parasit Vectors. 2012;5:14.

- Latrofa MS, Montarsi F, Ciocchetta S, Annoscia G, Dantas-Torres F, Ravagnan S, et al. Molecular xenomonitoring of *Dirofilaria immitis* and *Dirofilaria repens* in mosquitoes from north-eastern Italy by real-time PCR coupled with melting curve analysis. Parasit Vectors. 2012;5:76.
- Kronefeld M, Kampen H, Sassnau R, Werner D. Molecular detection of Dirofilaria immitis, Dirofilaria repens and Setaria tundra in mosquitoes from Germany. Parasit Vectors. 2014;7:30.
- Rudolf I, Šebesta O, Mendel J, Betášová L, Bocková E, Jedličková P, et al. Zoonotic *Dirofilaria repens* (Nematoda: Filarioidea) in *Aedes vexans* mosquitoes, Czech Republic. Parasitol Res. 2014;113:4663–7.
- Bocková E, Iglódyová A, Kočišová A. Potential mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) vector of *Dirofilaria repens* and *Dirofilaria immitis* in urban areas of eastern Slovakia. Parasitol Res. 2015;114:4487–92.
- Kemenesi G, Kurucz K, Kepner A, Dallos B, Oldal M, Herczeg R, et al. Circulation of *Dirofilaria repens, Setaria tundra*, and Onchocercidae species in Hungary during the period 2011-2013. Vet Parasitol. 2015;214:108–13.
- Şuleşco T, von Thien H, Toderaş L, Toderaş I, Lühken R, Tannich E. Circulation of *Dirofilaria repens* and *Dirofilaria immitis* in Moldova. Parasit Vectors. 2016;6:27.
- Masny A, Sałamatin R, Rozej-Bielicka W, Golab E. Is molecular xenomonitoring of mosquitoes for *Dirofilaria repens* suitable for dirofilariosis surveillance in endemic regions? Parasitol Res. 2016;115:511–25.
- Favia G, Lanfrancotti A, Della Torre A, Cancrini G, Coluzzi M. Advances in the identification of *Dirofilaria repens* and *Dirofilaria immitis* by a PCR-based approach. Parassitologia. 1997;39:401–2.
- Favia G, Lanfrancotti A, Della Torre A, Cancrini G, Coluzzi M. Polymerase chain reaction identification of *Dirofilaria repens* and *Dirofilaria immitis*. Parasitology. 1996;113:567–71.
- 47. Pollono F, Cancrini G, Rossi L. Survey on Culicidae attracted to bait dog in piedmont. Parassitologia. 1998;40:439–45. (In Italian)
- Pietrobelli M, Cancrini G, Capelli G, Frangipane di Regalbono A. Potential vector for canine and human dirofilariosis in north eastern Italy. Parassitologia. 2000;42(Suppl 1):105.
- Panaitescu D, Preda A, Bain O, Vasile-Bugarin AC. Four cases of human filariosis due to *Setaria labiatopapillosa* found in Bucharest, Romania. Roum Arch Microbiol Immunol. 1999;58:203–7.
- Nelson GS. Observations on the development of Setaria labiatopapillosa using new techniques for infecting Aedes aegypti with this nematode. J Helmithol. 1962;36:281–96.
- Pietrobelli M, Cancrini G, Frangipane di Regalbono A, Galuppi R, Tampieri MP. Development of *Setaria labiatopapillosa* in *Aedes caspius*. Med Vet Enomol. 1998;12:106–8.
- Ventim R, Ramos JA, Osório H, Lopes RJ, Pérez-Tris J, Mendes L. Avian malaria infections in western European mosquitoes. Parasitol Res. 2012;111:637–45.
- Lalubin F, Delédevant A, Glaizot O, Christe P. Temporal changes in mosquito abundance (*Culex pipiens*), avian malaria prevalence and lineage composition. Parasit Vectors. 2013;6:307.
- Martínez-de la Puente J, Muñoz J, Capelli G, Montarsi F, Soriguer R, Arnoldi D, et al. Avian malaria parasites in the last supper: identifying encounters between parasites and the invasive Asian mosquito tiger and native mosquito species in Italy. Malar J. 2015;14:32.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

